

U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

stentification data delead to prevest crearly unwavanted Massion of Detectal Daisage. OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS

425 Eve Street N.W. CLLB, 3rd Ploor Washington, D.C. 20536

File:

WAC 00 037-51955

Office:

California Service Center

Date: MAY U1 2002

Petition:

IN RE: Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

Perition for Special Immigrant Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and

Nationality Act (the "Act"), 8 U.S.C. 1153(b)(4), as described at Section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C.

1101(a)(27)(C)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103 5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or peritioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

> FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER, EXAMINATIONS

Robert P. Wiemann, Director. Administrative Appeals Office DISCUSSION: The immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is described as a church and Bible college. It seeks classification of the beneficiary as a special immigrant religious worker pursuant to section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the "Act"), 8 U.S.C. 1153(b)(4), in order to employ him as a "religious counselor" at a salary of S1,500 per menth.

The director denied the petition on the grounds that the petitioner failed to establish that it was a qualifying tax exempt erganization and failed to establish that the beneficiary had had two years of continuous experience in a religious occupation as required.

On appeal, the pastor of the petitioning church submitted a written statement and additional documentation.

Section 203(b)(4) of the Act provides classification to qualified special immigrant religious workers as described in section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(27)(C), which pertains to an immigrant who:

- (i) for at least 2 years immediately preceding the time of application for admission, has been a member of a religious denomination having a bona fide nonprofit, religious organization in the United States;
- (ii) seeks to enter the United States--
- (I) solely for the purpose of carrying on the vocation of a minister of that religious denomination,
- (II) before October 1, 2003, in order to work for the organization at the request of the organization in a professional capacity in a religious vocation or occupation, or
- (III) before October 1, 2000, in order to work for the organization (or for a bona fide organization which is affiliated with the religious denomination and is exempt from taxation as an organization described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Code of 1986) at the request of the organization in a religious vocation or occupation; and
- (iii) has been carrying on such vocation, professional work, or other work continuously for at loast the 2-year

period described in clause (i).

The petitioner in this matter is described as an independent Pentecostal church. The petitioner did not elaborate on its operation of a Bible college. It did not provide a description of the size of its congregation or the number of employees. The beneficiary is described as a native and citizen of Mexico. The beneficiary appears to reside in the United States, but the petitioner failed to disclose the date and manner of his entry on the spaces provided on the petition form. The record therefore reflects that the beneficiary has resided in the United States in an unlawful status.

The record has been reviewed de novo. It must first be noted that the petitioner did not provide all required information on the petition form. Absent all required information, the petition cannot be properly adjudicated. The petition may be denied as incomplete solely on this basis. <u>See</u> 8 C.F.R. 103.2(a)(1).

In order to establish eligibility for classification as a special immigrant religious worker, the petitioner must satisfy each of several eligibility requirements.

A petitioner must establish that it is a qualifying religious organization as defined in this type of visa petition proceeding.

8 C.F.R. 204.5(m)(3) states, in portinent part, that each petition for a religious worker must be accompanied by:

- (i) Evidence that the organization qualifies as a nonprofit organization in the form of either:
- (A) Documentation showing that it is exempt from taxation in accordance with section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1985 as it relates to religious organizations; or
- (3) Such documentation as is required by the Internal Revenue Service to establish eligibility for exemption under section 501(c)(3).

In the decision, the director noted that the petitioner submitted copies of its State of California non-profit corporation documents and a copy of an application for a federal employer identification number (EIN). The director found that this was insufficient to satisfy the above requirement. On appeal, the petitioner resubmitted copies of California documentation and a copy of the church's by aws.

In order to satisfy this requirement, the petitioner must either provide verification of individual exemption from the U.S. Internal

Revenue Service, proof of coverage under a group exemption granted by the IRS to the denomination, or such documentation as is required by the IRS. Such documentation to establish eligibility for exemption under section 501(c)(3) includes: a completed Form 1023, a completed Schedule A attachment, and a copy of the articles of organization showing, inter alia, the disposition of assets in the event of dissolution.

The patitioner has failed to submit the required documentation and therefore has failed to overcome this issue as a ground for denial of the petition.

The petitioner must establish that the beneficiary had had the requisite two years of continuous experience in a religious occupation.

8 C.F.R. 204.5(m)(1) states, in pertinent part, that:

All three types of religious workers must have been performing the vocation, professional work, or other work continuously (either abroad or in the United States) for at least the two year period immediately preceding the filing of the petition.

The petition was filed on November 22, 1999. Therefore, the petitioner must establish that the beneficiary was continuously carrying on a religious occupation since at least November 22, 1997.

The petitioner asserted that the beneficiary has been a volunteer at the church since August 1997, while employed as a medical assistant at a local health clinic. The director found that the voluntary donation of time to one's church was insufficient to satisfy the proof experience requirement. On appeal, the pastor of the church merely asserted that the beneficiary had been a full-time volunteer with the church.

The scalute and its implementing regulations require that a beneficiary had been continuously carrying on the religious occupation specified in the petition for the two years preceding filing. The regulations are silent on the question of volunteer work satisfying the requirement. The pertinent regulations were drafted in recognition of the special circumstances of some religious workers, specifically those engaged in a religious vocation, in that they may not be sataried in the conventional sense and may not follow a conventional work schedule. The regulations distinguish religious vocations from lay religious occupations. 8 C.F.R. 204.5(m) (2) defines a religious vocation, in part, as a calling to religious life evidenced by the taking of vows. While such persons are not employed per se in the conventional sense of salaried employment, they are fully

financially supported and maintained by their religious institution and are answerable to that institution. The regulation defines lay religious occupations, in contrast, in general terms as an activity related to a "traditional religious function." Jd. Such lay persons are employed in the conventional sense of salaried The regulations recognize this distinction by employment. requiring that in order to qualify for special immigrant classification in a religious occupation, the job offer for a lay employee of a religious organization must show that he or sho will be employed in the conventional sense of salaried employment and will not be dependent on supplemental employment. See 8 C.F.R. $204.5\,(m)\,(4)$. Because the statute requires Lwo years of comminuous experience in the same position for which special immigrant classification is sought, the Service interprets its own regulations to require that, in cases of lay persons seeking to engage in a religious occupation, the prior experience must have been full-time salaried employment in order to qualify as well.

Furthermore, in evaluating a claim of prior work experience, the Service must distinguish between common participation in the religious life of a denomination and engaging continuously in a It is traditional in many religious religious occupation. organizations for members to volunteer a great deal of their time serving on committees, visiting the sick, serving in the choir, teaching children's religion classes, and assisting the ordained ministry without being considered to be carrying on a religious occupation. It is not reasonable to assume that the petitioning religious organization, or any employer, could place the same responsibilities, the same control of time, and the same delegation of duties on an unpaid volunteer as it could on a salaried employee. Nor is there any means for the Service to verify a claim of past "volunteer work" similar to verifying a claim of past employment. For all these reasons, the Service holds that lay persons who perform volunteer activities, especially while also engaged in a secular occupation, are not engaged in a religious occupation and that the voluntary activities do not constitute qualifying work experience for the purpose of an employment-based special immigrant visa cetition. Therefore, the petitioner has failed to overcome this issue as a ground for denial of the petition.

It is further noted that absent proof of the date of the beneficiary's entry into the United States, the petitioner has failed to establish that the beneficiary has resided in the United States for the period claimed.

The petitioner must also demonstrate that a qualifying [or offer has been tendered.

8 C.F.R. 204.5(m)(4) states, in pertinent part, that:

Job offer. The letter from the authorized official of the religious organization in the United States must state how the alien will be solely carrying on the vocation of a minister, or how the alien will be paid or remunerated if the alien will work in a professional capacity or in other religious work. The documentation should clearly indicate that the alien will not be solely dependent on supplemental employment or the solicitation of funds for support.

In the original job-offer letter dated October 26, 1999, the pastor of the church offered to employ the beneficiary as a missionary at a salary of \$1,200 per month. In a subsequent letter dated September 1, 2000, the same pastor stated that the proposed position was as a religious counselor at a salary of \$1,500 per month.

On review, it must be concluded that the petitioner has not adequately identified the nature of the position or the terms of remuneration. As such, it has not been established that the alien would not be dependent on supplemental employment. Therefore, the petitioner has not tendered a qualifying job offer.

A petitioner must also demonstrate its ability to pay the proffered wage.

8 C.F.R. 204.5(q)(2) states, in pertinent part, that:

Any petition liked by or for an employment-based immigrant which requires an offer of employment must be accompanied by evidence that the prospective United States employer has the ability to pay the wage. The petitioner must demonstrate this ability at the time the priority date is established and continuing until the beneficiary obtains lawful permanent residence. Evidence of this ability shall be either in the form of annual reports, federal tax returns, or audited financial statements.

The petitioner has not furnished the church's annual reports, federal tax returns, or audited financial statements. Therefore, the petitioner has not satisfied the documentary requirement of this provision.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. Here, the petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.